AWARD/CONTRACT					RACT IS A RAT AS (15 CFR 70		ORDER		•	RATING			PAGE O	F PAGES	
2. CON	TRACT (	Proc. Inst. Ident.) NO.	35350			estado.			3. EFFECTI	VE DATI	E 4. REQUISITIO	N/PURC	CHASE REQ	UEST/PRO	JECT NO.
EP-C	-17-	031/68HERC20F0036							See Blo	ock 2	OC See Sche				
5. ISSU	ED BY	CODE		CAD		6. A	DMINIS	STEREI	D BY (If other	than Ite	m 5)	CODI	E		
26 W Mail	est Cod	onmental Protection A Martin Luther King Di e: W136 ti OH 45268-0001	_												
7. NAM	E AND A	DDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street	, country, State a	nd ZIP Co	de)				8. DELIVE		ı	✓ OTI	HED (See he	ofour)	
TETR	A TE	CH, INC.								ORIGIN	R PROMPT PAYMEN		HER (See be	HOW)	
Attn: George Townsend 10306 EATON PL STE 340 FAIRFAX VA 22030								and the second states and the	and and any of the same of the						
										ınless ot	ICES herwise specified) S SHOWN IN	•	ITEM		
CODE	198	549560	FACILITY CODE									•			
11. SHI	TO/MA	RK FOR CODE		OW		12.	PAYME	NT WIL	L BE MADE	BY		CODE	RTP FN	MC	
OW/OST/HECD US Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20460			FION		US RT 10 ww Du	Env P-Fi 9 TW w2.e urham	riro nan N Al epa. n NC	ce Cent exander gov/fir 27711	Pro er ( Dri nanci	.al/contrac		У			
		′ FOR USING OTHER THAN FULL AND ( :. 2304 (c) (	□41 U.S.C. 3304		ĭ	14.	ACCOL	JNTING	AND APPRO	PRIAH	ONDAIA See Sched	מוני			
	10 0.0.0		_41 0.3.0. 3304	(a) (	.,						Jee Sched	ure T			
15A. IT	EM NO	15B	SUPPLIES/SER	RVICES					15C. QUANTIT	15D. Y UNIT	15E. UNIT PRI	ICE	1	15F. AMOU	NT
		Continued						15G. 1	TOTAL AMOL	INT OF	CONTRACT				\$74.635.00
					16. TABL	E OF	E CONT				7				\$74,033.00
(X)	SEC.	DESCRIPTION			PAGE(S)	1		SEC.	DESCRIP*	TION					PAGE(S)
	PART I	THE SCHEDULE			~		ı	PART II	- CONTRAC	T CLAU	SES				
	Α	SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM						1	CONTRAC	T CLAU	ISES				
	В	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICE				_		PART II			NTS, EXHIBITS AN	D OTH	ER ATTACH.		
	C	DESCRIPTION/SPECS./WORK STATE	MENT			$\dashv$		J	LIST OF A			FIGNO			
	D E	PACKAGING AND MARKING INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE				$\dashv$					NS AND INSTRUCT				
	F	DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE						K	Delite in the above account		NS, CERTIFICATION NTS OF OFFEROR		J		
	G	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA						L	INSTRS.,	CONDS.	, AND NOTICES TO	OFFE	RORS		
	Н	SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENT	·s					М	EVALUATI	ON FAC	TORS FOR AWARD	)			
		CONTRACTING OFFICER WILL COMPLE				_						_			
docume furnish a above a obligation docume represe reference	nt and reamd delivend on an and on an	actor's NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT (Ceturn copies to issuing er all items or perform all the services set by continuation sheets for the consideration aparties to this contract shall be subject to this award/contract, (b) the solicitation, if a certifications, and specifications, as are a (Attachments are listed herein.)	office.) Contracto forth or otherwise on stated herein. on and governed by any, and (c) such	r agrees to e identified The rights y the follov provisions	o and ving	Solinc in f she doc No aw	licitation cluding the full above eets. The cuments further arding a	n Numb he addi re, is he nis awa s: (a) th contrac a sealed E OF C	er tions or chan ereby accepte rd consumma ne Governme ctual docume d-bid contract ONTRACTIN	ges mad ed as to t ates the nt's solic nt is nec	or is not required to 68 HER 68 HER le by you which addi he items listed abov contract which considitation and your bid, essary. (Block 18 sh	C19F tions or e and o sts of th and (b)	R0080  changes are on any continue following this award/o	e set forth nuation contract.	on ,
10D M	ME OF	CONTRACTOR		100			ndrea		nne ATES OF AM	ERIC^			I.	100 54==	DIONES
ВУ	N	CONTRACTOR  of person authorized to sign)		19C. DA	ATE SIGNED	BY		lina	TES OF AM	 G	SIGN	RONG	:	10/28	3/2019

### **CONTINUATION SHEET**

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED EP-C-17-031/68HERC20F0036

PAGE 2 OF 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

TETRA TECH, INC.

ΓΕΜ NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	ŲNIT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	DUNS Number: 198549560 TOCOR: Elizabeth Resek Max Expire Date: 10/30/2020 Delivery: 10/30/2020 Period of Performance: 11/01/2019 to 10/30/2020  Fully Funded Firm Fixed Price (FFP) Task Order				
0001	Task Order Issuance Line Item: Technical Support for EPA/ORD Ecological Assessment Programs Requisition No: PR-OW-19-00585, PR-OW-19-00786				74,635
	Accounting Info:  19-20-B-28H-000BD4X20-2505-1928CHH034-001 BFY: 19  EFY: 20 Fund: B Budget Org: 28H Program (PRC):  000BD4X20 Budget (BOC): 2505 DCN - Line ID:  1928CHH034-001  Funding Flag: Partial  Funded: \$74,635.00  Accounting Info:  19-20-B-28H-000BD4X20-2505 BFY: 19 EFY: 20 Fund:  B Budget Org: 28H Program (PRC): 000BD4X20 Budget  (BOC): 2505  Funding Flag: Partial  Funded: \$0.00				
	Delivery-Invoice Payment Schedule shall not exceed a frequency greater than once a month and 90% of the task order price. Acceptance for invoicing is based on deliverable approval by the TOCOR. For efficient processing IAW FAR clause 52.232-32, performance based payment invoicing amounts will not be submitted until the TOCOR provides deliverable approval. The TOCOR will notify Tetra Tech within 14 days of submission of a deliverable of EPAs intention to approve or disapprove.				
	TOCOR: Elizabeth Resek/(202)566-1228/resek.elizabeth@epa.gov ALTOCOR: Reuben Baris/(202)566-2683/baris.reuben@epa.gov				

#### PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT

Tetra Tech, Inc. Contact #EP-C-17-031 PR-R5-20-00543/SOL 68HERC21R0003 Task Order No. 68HERC21F0036

TITLE: Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) Lake Index Recalibration

**SHORT TITLE:** IEPA Lake Index Recalibration

Task Order COR (TOCOR)

Name: Donna Keclik Office: U.S. EPA Region 5

> 77 W. Jackson Blvd. Mail Code: (WW-16J) Chicago, IL 60604

Phone: 312-886-6766

Email: keclik.donna@epa.gov

**Alternate Task Order COR (ALTOCOR)** 

Name: Belinda Montgomery Office: U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 W, Jackson Blvd. Mail Code: (WW-16J) Chicago, IL 60604

Phone: 312-886-5949

Email: montgomery.belinda@epa.gov

**PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE:** Date of award through 24 months following Task Order award.

### **Background**

Bioassessment programs in many states have developed indexes and models, such as the Index of Biological Integrity (IBI) and the Biological Condition Gradient (BCG), to use in assessment and communication to stakeholders and the public. They are increasingly being used as a basis for biological criteria or objectives in state water quality standards, such that waters of a certain designated use are expected to meet a biological criterion of a minimum score or value of an index. In 2013, a macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity (mIBI) for lakes was developed for IEPA. EPA Region 5 contracted with Tetra Tech, under contract number EP-C12055 – task order 00006 to develop the mIBI for IEPA. The index was developed using data collected from 2008 to 2012. This contract has been completed. In the last several years, IEPA collected 85 additional samples, representing 47 additional lakes and will be using this new data to validate and update the index.

### Scope of Work:

The purpose of the Task Order is to collaberate with IEPA to validate the lake mIBI by using the new lake data and recalibrate the lake mIBI, and to also standardize future efforts and index calculations. The Task Order requires the contractor to develop a tool for calculating the Index scores for the lake mIBI for IEPA. This tool will allow IEPA to determine if a lake is meeting certain conditions and will help the state develop their impaired waters list and determine where actions need to be taken to bring the lake into compliance.

### Task 1: Communications and Administration (Section 3 of Contract Level PWS)

The contractor shall provide management and administrative support for the project throughout its duration. The contractor shall participate in monthly calls and/or as deemed necessary with the TOCOR, IEPA, and other relevant EPA staff to collaborate items such as; points of contact, roles and responsibilities, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) protocols, timelines, the schedule of benchmarks, milestones and deliverables, establish dates and times for monthly calls and monthly technical progress reports and general task order administrative and technical information

### SubTask 1.1: Communication/Kickoff-Call

The contractor shall communicate with the TOCOR within five (5) days of award to setup a kickoff-call. The contractor and the TOCOR shall agree on a time to have a kickoff-call with all parties to discuss points of contact, roles and responsibilities, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) protocols, schedule, and deliverables, establish dates and times for monthly calls and monthly progress reports and general task order administrative and technical information.

### SubTask 1.2: Communication/monthly calls

The contractor shall participate in monthly calls with the TOCOR and IEPA to go over the status of the tasks and any issues or questions which need to be considered with all parties. These calls shall be set to an agreed time as discussed in the kick-off call.

### SubTask 1.3: Communication/progress reports

The contractor shall submit progress reports that will align with billing requests or when significant activity has occurred on the on the Task Order. The reports shall identify what actions have been taken for the time frame and if there were any issues during this billing time or any issues foreseen in the next billing action/deliverables.

Task 1 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
1	1.1	Communication/Kick-off call	Within five (5) days of TO
			Award
1	1.2	Monthly Calls	Monthly and/or as requested
		93.5	by the TOCOR
1	1.3	Progress Reports	Progress reports which
			correlate to the billing,
			outlining what
			actions/deliverables are in
			the billing time frame.

### Task 2: Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) (Section 5 of Contract Level PWS)

All actions conducted under this task order shall be performed pursuant to an EPA-approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). The contractor shall provide a QAPP that fully addresses the use of secondary data for purposes of this task order. The process for the QAPP development and review is:

- Within fifteen (15) business days after task order award, The contractor shall submit for EPA review a draft QAPP documenting how quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) shall be applied to the compilation, evaluation, analysis and use of environmental data.
- EPA will review the draft QAPP, and provide the Contractor with written approval or written comments within 45 days after receipt of Draft QAPP. (what is EPAs timeframe? e.g., within 5 business days after receipt of draft QAPP).
- The contractor shall submit a final QAPP within five (5) business days of receipt of the written comments on the draft QAPP, unless otherwise instructed by the TOCOR.

### **QAPP** Requirements

The QAPP shall be consistent with the use of existing (secondary) data and data collected by IEPA under their Quality Management Plan (QMP) (Illinois QMP <a href="https://www2.illinois.gov/epa/topics/water-quality/watershed-management/wqmp/Pages/default.aspx#:~:text=The%20Illinois%20Water%20Quality%20Management,point%20and%20nonpoint%20source%20pollution).">https://www2.illinois.gov/epa/topics/water-quality/watershed-management/wqmp/Pages/default.aspx#:~:text=The%20Illinois%20Water%20Quality%20Management,point%20and%20nonpoint%20source%20pollution).</a> The QAPP shall provide enough detail to clearly describe objectives of the project supported by the task order, the type of data compiled or used under this task order to support the project objectives; the quality objectives needed to ensure that the data support the project objectives; and the quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) activities to be performed to ensure that any results obtained are documented and are of the type, quality, transparency, and reproducibility needed.

### SubTask 2.1: Draft QAPP

The draft QAPP as a Microsoft Word (2016) document shall be submitted for review and approval by the TOCOR and the EPA QA Officer fifteen (15) days after TO award.

### SubTask 2.2: Final QAPP

Final QAPP addressing TOCOR's and QA officer's comments on the QAPP due to EPA five (5) business days after receiving comments as a Microsoft Word document Contractor shall not begin work on the TO until receiving in writing from the TOCOR that EPA has approved the QAPP.

Task 2 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
2	2.1	Draft QAPP	Due fifteen (15) days of TO
			Award
2	2.2	Final QAPP	Due ten (10) business days of receipt of the written comments on the draft
			QAPP

### Task 3. Data Organization (Section 2 Task Area 1, Task Area 4 of Contract Level PWS)

Data from the 47 new lakes and 85 sampling events shall be included in the new analyses. For some analyses, the new data shall be pooled with data 2008-2012 data previously compiled for lakes. IEPA data are mostly available in Microsoft Access tables or Microsoft Excel. Any IEPA data not in Microsoft Access the contractor shall be transferred in Microsoft Access. Site information and shoreline-habitat surveys are in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. This data shall be submitted to the Contractor by IEPA. The shoreline-habitat surveys shall be compiled and summarized as the Riparian Disturbance Habitat Index and the Littoral and Riparian Complexity Habitat Index by the contractor. The contractor shall use the template in Attachment A under this Task Order which was developed for IEPA. The contractor shall compile the data, applying and checking the index calculations, and associating the summary data with the lakes.

Basic site information shall be tabulated and related to sample metadata. Sample metadata shall be associated with sample results, and result parameters (water quality, habitat, and biology) shall be specified in descriptive tables. Macroinvertebrate subsamples shall be composited into whole-lake samples laid out in *Development of a Multimetric Macroinvertebrate Index for Lakes in Illinois* (Attachment B) or according to new compositing procedures to be determined in collaboration with EPA and IEPA. Macroinvertebrate metrics shall be calculated from appropriately composited sample taxa lists and taxa traits (feeding groups, habit, voltinism, and pollution tolerance, as available). Taxa traits are already established but shall be checked for new taxa without attributes and for new attributes previously unassigned. Metric calculations shall be in Access.

### SubTask 3.1: Compilation of Metric Calculation

The contractor shall update the existing 2008-2012 data set to develop IEPA's mIBI metric with the new data and information submitted from IEPA. This shall include 47 new lake data and site information for shoreline-habitat surveys.

Task 3 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
3	3.1	Compilation of Metric Calculation	Due five (5) months of TO
		in Access or R.	Award

Task 4 GIS Analysis (Section 2 Task Area 4 Contract Level PWS)

The watersheds of the 47 new lakes shall be delineated and checked for accuracy so that source, stressors, and natural environmental factors in the contributing basin can be related to the disturbance gradient and natural site classes. The disturbance gradient that was established using the 2008-2012 data set for lake macroinvertebrate index development shall be applied for the new lakes, identifying categories of Reference, Near Reference, Other, Stressed, and Extremely Stressed. This disturbance gradient was developed based on geographic-information-system (GIS) variables, special activities, and shoreline-habitat indexes. The GIS variables used were percent imperviousness of the whole catchment, percent low, medium, and high development land uses, percent crops and pasture use, count of road and stream crossings per 100 acres, and length of roads in miles per 100 acres. The special activities used were gravel and coal mines near the lake and point sources in the catchment weighted by distance. The shoreline-habitat indexes included the Riparian Disturbance Habitat Index and the Littoral and Riparian Complexity Habitat Index. The disturbance criteria shall be applied to the new lakes as they were to the lakes in the calibration data set. If new variables are available and deemed important to the analysis, they shall be discussed with EPA and IEPA and shall be applied to all lakes (new and previous) as appropriate.

### SubTask 4.1: Compilation of GIS data

The contractor shall update the 2008-2012 GIS dataset that was used to develop IEPA's mIBI metric with the new data and information submitted from IEPA. This shall include 47 new lake data and site information for shoreline-habitat surveys.

Task 4 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
4	4.1	Compilation of new GIS data in	Due eight (8) months of TO
		MS Access	Award

Task 5. Validate the Lake Macroinvertebrate IBI (Section 2 Task Area 4 Contract Level PWS)

The existing lake mIBI shall be tested for effective discrimination of macroinvertebrate condition along the disturbance gradient, including correlation with all disturbance categories (Reference, Near Reference, Other, Stressed, and Extremely Stressed). This shall include calculation of the mIBI according to existing application guidelines, associating mIBI scores with site disturbance categories, calculating the discrimination efficiency (DE) of the index and the component metrics, and plotting metric and index distributions along the disturbance gradient. If the DE of the index in new lakes is comparable to the DE of the index from the 2008-2012 data set index, then the index will be validated and can be applied in ongoing assessments. If the index is validated adequately while individual metrics are not strong performers or if the index cannot be validated, then the inadequate performance shall be discussed with EPA and IEPA. At that time, a decision shall be made to accept the validated index, accept the index with reduced DE, or reject the index as outdated and due for re-calibration.

### SubTask 5.1: Index Review

The contractor shall review the mIBI index using the new data and determine if the index is acceptable or needs to be updated.

### Task 5 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
5	5.1	Confirmation of correlation with	Due eleven (11) months of
		all disturbance categories	TO Award

## Task 6 Evaluation of Sampling Effects on Metric and Index Performance (Section 2 Task Area 1 of Contract Level PWS)

Sampling for the lake macroinvertebrate index is thorough and intensive. It was suggested by IEPA that the profundal zone was not providing information that improved the index performance. It is further hypothesized that processing samples for separate habitats might not provide more information than would be gained from assessment of a whole lake composite sample. Compositing prior to sample processing would reduce sample processing costs and time. In addition, if additional habitats are found to be redundant or unnecessary for efficient assessment, the sampling protocols might be streamlined to reduce time in the field.

An analysis shall be conducted in which the samples shall be virtually composited and resampled in different configurations to test the idea that a whole-lake composite or specific habitat composites would yield precise and accurate assessments. If DE, precision, or accuracy are greatly reduced by such experimental compositing, then the trade-offs between cost/time and performance shall be discussed with EPA and IEPA. The evaluation of sampling effects would address the existing mIBI and a recalibrated mIBI (Task 7).

### SubTask 6.1: review data in different configurations for whole-lake sample

The contractor shall review the mIBI index using the new data resampled in different configurations to test the idea that a whole-lake composite or specific habitat composites would yield precise and accurate assessments. Output show the different relationships.

Task 6 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
6	6.1	Review of the data resampled in	Due fourteen (14) months of
		different configurations to test the	TO Award
		idea that a whole-lake composite	
		or specific habitat composites	
		would yield precise and accurate	
		assessments. Output show the	
		different relationships.	

Task 7 – Recalibration of the lake mIBI (Section 2 Task Area 5 of Contract Level PWS)

If the mIBI is determined to underperform in the validation (Task 4), then a recalibration shall be conducted using the complete data set. Recalibration shall follow similar steps that were used in the original calibration, to include checking the site classification for adherence to the original classification, calculation of a broad range of metrics, determining metric sensitivity along the disturbance gradient, selecting sensitive and precise metrics, scoring metrics on a 100 point scale, and combining the best set of metrics into a revised mIBI. Performance of the index will be characterized in terms of accuracy and precision. Each step shall be presented for U.S. EPA and IEPA review and approval.

The recalibration task is contingent on performance of the mIBI in the new sites. If recalibration is not necessary, contingent tasks may be performed. The proposed contingent tasks shall include examination of index application scenarios and possible impairment thresholds. The examination shall include interpretations of possible thresholds in the context of lake assessments. Other contingent tasks shall include identification data gaps and additional lakes to sample to fill those gaps. For example, if a certain type of reference lake is lacking sufficient reference sites for calibration or validation, new lakes shall be identified using GIS data.

### SubTask 7.1: Recalibration of the mIBI index

The contractor shall review all the data and information compiled in Tasks 3-6 of this Task Order and determine if the data demonstrates that the metric is valid for the state to make determinations on the water quality of the lake. If changes are needed the contractor shall update the index. If the index is valid the contractor shall indicate that the index is still in good standings and that no changes need to be made.

Task 7 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
7	7.1	Recalibration of all or part of the	Due sixteen (16) months of
		index.	TO Award

## Task 8 - Index calculation tool in MS Access or R (Section 2 Task Area 6, and Section 3 of Contract Level PWS)

The mIBI shall be incorporated into an Access database so that sample and macroinvertebrate data entered into results tables can be converted to metrics, metric scores, and index values. The calculations shall be triggered by queries or forms and shall be presented in Access tables with an explanatory write up. Operation of the index calculation tool shall require basic knowledge of Access operations and query modifications might render the tool inoperable.

Along with the Index calculation tool the contractor shall submit a report indicating updates to the existing mIBI and write up of the process for Tasks 3-7 the contractor took to update the index.

The contractor shall develop a draft report in MS word (2016) identifying all steps and information used to develop the updated index as identified in Tasks 3-7. Including the index. This shall include information from each task in this Task Order including but not be limited to type of data collected from the state, issues with any data reviewed, explanation of data not used if any, and how the data was used. The draft report shall include the data used and GIS and any additional graphics as needed. If Tables of data sets are to be added these may be as an Access Data base.

### SubTask 8.2: Final Report of the mIBI Index for IEPA

The contractor shall submit a final report in MS word (2016) incorporating comments submitted by the TOCOR. This final report shall be submitted electronically. It may be submitted via an File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site or other appropriate method depending on the amount of data included. The final report shall be 508 compliant.

### SubTask 8.3:Index Calculation Tool in MS Access by TOCOR

With the submittal of the Final Report the contractor shall submit an Index Calculation Tool in MS Access other format if it is determined by the contractor and TOCOR would be a better option base on the data. The contactor shall also submit information and documentation on the use of the tool in MS Word (2016).

Task 8 Deliverables

Tasks	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
8	8.1	Draft Report identifying all steps	Due eighteen (18) months of
		and information used to develop	TO Award
		the updated index. Including the	
		index.	
8	8.2	Final Report incorporating	Due twenty-four (24) months
		comments received by EPA. The	of TO Award
		report will identify all steps and	
		information used to develop the	
		updated index. Including the	
		index.	
8	8.3	Submittal of Index calculation tool	Due twenty-four (24)
		in MS Access	months of TO Award

### Task Order Summary of all Deliverables

Task No.	SubTask	Deliverable	Schedule
1	1.1	Communication/Kick-off call	Due five (5) days of TO Award
1	1.2	Monthly Calls	Monthly and/or as requested
			by the TOCOR
1	1.3	<b>Monthly Technical Progress</b>	Monthly and/or as requested
		Reports	by the TOCOR

2	2.1	Draft QAPP	Due fifteen (15) days of TO Award
2	2.2	Final QAPP	Due ten (10) business days of receipt of the written comments on the draft QAPP
3	3.1	Compilation of Metric Calculation in Access or R.	Due five (5) months TO Award
4	4.1	Compilation of new GIS data in MS Access or MS Excel.	Due eight (8) months of TO Award
5	5.1	Confirmation of correlation with all disturbance categories	Due eleven (11) months of TO Award
6	6.1	Review of the data resampled in different configurations to test the idea that a whole-lake composite or specific habitat composites would yield precise and accurate assessments.  Output show the different relationships.	Due fourteen (14) months of TO Award
7	7.1	Recalibration of all or part of the index.	Due sixteen (16) months of TO Award
8	8.1	Draft Report discussing all steps and information used to develop the updated index. Including the index.	Due eighteen (18) months of TO Award
8	8.2	Final Report incorporating comments received by EPA. The report will discuss all steps and information used to develop the updated index. Including the index.	Due twenty-four (24) months of TO Award
8	8.3	Submittal of Index calculation tool in MS Access requested by TOCOR	Due twenty-four (24) months of TO Award

### **ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA:**

The Contractor shall prepare high quality deliverables in accordance with academic standards. Deliverables shall be edited for grammar, spelling, and logic flow. The technical information shall be reasonably complete and presented in a logical, readable manner. Figures submitted shall be of high quality similar to presentations developed for national scientific forums and should be formatted as jpeg or png files. Text deliverables shall be provided in Microsoft Word 2016, Access, and must be 508 compliant.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT					CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF	PAGES
2. AMENDMEI	NT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE	DATE	4. RI		5. PR	U OJECT NO	L Z v. (If applicable)
P00001		See Bloo	ck 16C					
6. ISSUED BY	CODE			7. A	DMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	<u> </u>	
26 West Mail Co	ronmental Protection Martin Luther King D de: W136 ati OH 45268-0001	_						
O NAME AND	ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. atress	t sounty State and	ZID Code)	10	DA AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)  TETRA TECH, INC.  Attn: George Townsend  10306 EATON PL STE 340  FAIRFAX VA 22030				(X) 9	IB. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)  IDA. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NOTE P = C = 17 = 031  SHERC 20 F 0 0 3 6  IDB. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	<b>O</b> .		
CODE 19	8549560	FACILITY COD	E		10/28/2019			
		11. THIS IT	EM ONLY APPLIES TO A	MEN	DMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
separate let RECEIVED OFFER. If t each letter o	ter or electronic communication which inc AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE by virtue of this amendment you desire to or electronic communication makes refere ING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If rec edule	eludes a reference E RECEIPT OF O change an offer a ence to the solicita juired)	e to the solicitation and ar FFERS PRIOR TO THE already submitted , such ation and this amendment	mendi HOUF chang t, and	receipt of this amendment on each copy of the off ment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWL R AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJE pe may be made by letter or electronic communics is received prior to the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the contract of the opening hour and date specified the open	EDGEN CTION ation, p ecified.	MENT TO E I OF YOUR rovided	SE.
CHECK ONE	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRA appropriation data, etc.) SET FORT	CT/ORDER IS M H IN ITEM 14, PU	ODIFIED TO REFLECT I	THE A	NGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN TH DMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in TY OF FAR 43.103(b).			
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN	IT IS ENTERED	NTO PURSUANT TO AU	JTHO	RITY OF:			
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Х	BILATERAL AGREEMENT - NO	COST NO PO	P EXTENSION - P	WS 2	AMEND 1, SLIGHT DATA SET ADJUST	MENT		
E. IMPORTAN 14. DESCRIP DUNS Nur	TION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION		o sign this document and CF section headings, inc		n1 copies to the issuing a solicitation/contract subject matter where feasib	8	•	
	Elizabeth Resek Max E	Expire Da	te: 10/30/202	20				
Reason i	CHANGES: For Modification: Oth DN - PWS AMEND 1 (SEE				n - BILATERAL AGREEMENT · SET ADJUSTMENT	- NC	) COST	NO POP
		he document refe	renced in Item 9 A or 10/	164	neretofore changed, remains unchanged and in fo A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFIC adrea Dehne			
15B. CONTRA	ACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED			€CTR:	ONIC	C. DATE SIGNED
9	(Signature of person authorized to sign)			15	(Signature of Contracting Officer)	MSNAT	URE 0	4/07/2020

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-17-031/68HERC20F0036/P00001
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR TETRA TECH, INC.

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Payment:				
	RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	RTP-Finance Center (AA216-01)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	www2.epa.gov/financial/contracts				
	Durham NC 27711				
	Period of Performance: 11/01/2019 to 10/30/2020				
	Delivery-Invoice Payment Schedule shall not				
	exceed a frequency greater than once a month and				
	90% of the task order price. Acceptance for				
	invoicing is based on deliverable approval by the				
	TOCOR. For efficient processing IAW FAR clause				
	52.232-32, performance based payment invoicing				
	amounts will not be submitted until the TOCOR				
	provides deliverable approval. The TOCOR will notify Tetra Tech within 14 days of submission of				
	a deliverable of EPAs intention to approve or				
	disapprove.				
	TOCOR: Elizabeth				
	Resek/(202)566-1228/resek.elizabeth@epa.gov				
	ALTOCOR: Reuben				
	Baris/(202)566-2683/baris.reuben@epa.gov				
	Baris/(202)366-2663/Daris.reubengepa.gov				
		I	ı		

# PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT PR-OW-19-00585 Contract #EP-C-17-031 SOL #68HERC19R0080/TO # 68HERC20F0036

### Amendment 1

I. Title: Development of 2018-2019 Biosolids Biennial Review

II. Period of Performance: November 1, 2019 to October 30, 2020

### **III.** Task Order Contracting Officer Representative (TOCOR):

Elizabeth Resek
Health and Ecological Criteria Division
Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue (4304T)
Washington, DC 20460

Tel: (202)566-1228, Fax: (202)566-1140

resek.elizabeth@epa.gov

### **Alternate TOCOR:**

Reuben Baris (202)566-2683 baris.reuben@epa.gov

### IV. Background Information:

Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the EPA to review biosolids regulations every two years to identify additional pollutants that occur in biosolids and to regulate those pollutants if sufficient scientific evidence shows that they may harm (i.e., there is risk to) human health or the environment. The biennial review process is intended to fulfill the CWA requirement to identify additional pollutants that occur in biosolids every two years. It also provides the information and data needed to conduct risk assessments on the pollutants found. The EPA develops biennial reviews by collecting, reviewing and reporting publicly available information and data on the occurrence, human health and ecological effects, and fate and transport in the environment for pollutants that have been found in U.S. biosolids. While not listed as a biennial review, an extensive literature search was conducted and published in 2003, and 10 pollutants were identified and prioritized for risk assessment in response to the 2002 National Research Council of the National Academies report (68 FR 75531). Subsequent biennial reviews are posted to EPA's website for 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, 2013 and 2015: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/biosolids/biennial-reviews-sewage-sludge-standards">https://www.epa.gov/biosolids/biennial-reviews-sewage-sludge-standards</a>.

If needed for purposes of technical clarification, use of technical collaboration will be in writing and sent to the Contracting Officer and the Task Order Contract-Level COR.

### V. Objectives

This task order (TO) is to develop the 2018-2019 Biennial Review (See Task 2) and provide recommendations on improvements to the biennial review process (See Task 3).

### VI. Scope of Work

### Task 1: Communication, Prepare Monthly Progress and Financial Reports, Coordination and Notification

### SubTask 1.1: Communication/Kickoff Call

The Contractor shall contact the TOCOR and schedule a kickoff project meeting.

**SubTask 1.2: Communication and development of a regular reporting schedule** The Contractor shall establish communication with the TOCOR and develop a regular reporting schedule throughout the period of the TO.

### Task 1. Deliverables

Task	SubTasks	Deliverable	Due
1	1.1	Communication/Kick-off call	Within 3 days of TO Award
1	1.2	Regular reporting schedule	As requested by the TOCOR

### Task 2: Develop Biosolid Biennial Review for 2018 - 2019 Timeframe.

The contractor shall develop the biennial review by collecting, reviewing and reporting publicly available information and data from January 2018 through December 2019 on the occurrence, human health and ecological effects, and fate and transport in the environment for pollutants that have been found in U.S. biosolids. These data can be used to conduct future human health and ecological risk assessments. The contractor shall refer to the EPA's 2016-2017 Biennial Review for reference on the previous biennial review process: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-06/documents/2016-2017-biosolids-biennial-review.pdf">https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-06/documents/2016-2017-biosolids-biennial-review.pdf</a>

### SubTask 2.1: "Paper Review" – Identify, Review and Select Papers

Conduct a literature review from January 2018 through December 2019 for articles published in English in peer-reviewed journals to identify chemicals and microbial pollutants previously found in biosolids (see Appendix A in the 2016-2017 Biennial Review) and chemicals and microbial pollutants newly identified in biosolids.

2.1.a. Bibliographic databases to be searched for the 2016-2017 2018-2019 Biennial Review included: PubMed, Web of Science, and Proquest. Toxicology Literature Online (TOXLINE), Fish, Fisheries, & Aquatic Biodiversity Worldwide, Environment Complete, CAB Abstracts, and Science Direct. The searches for occurrence data should be limited to the U.S. and Canada. The contractor may recommend other databases which must be approved by the TOCOR prior to use. The TOCOR shall provide the contractor with citations and corresponding abstracts for searches designed and run by the EPA librarian in PubMed, Web of Science and Proquest. The

citations and abstracts will be provided electronically to the contractor using Endnote software. If the contractor is unable to obtain pertinent journal articles from Proquest, the EPA librarian shall assist in obtaining the articles.

- 2.1.b. Conference abstracts, reports, comments, letters and editorials were excluded in the 2016-2017 Biennial Review. The contractor may recommend their inclusion which must be approved by the TOCOR prior to use.
- 2.1.c. The search terms/strings used in for the 2016-2017 2018-2019 Biennial Review shall be determined with the assistance of the EPA librarian and must be documented by the contractor and reported in the biennial review document. It may be altered by the contractor once approved by the TOCOR.
- 2.1.d. The contractor shall exclude papers when:
  - Countries had treatment technologies, regulatory requirements or soil types that were not comparable to the U.S. and Canada and reported only concentration data. Note that studies for countries that were not comparable to the U.S. and Canada but had other types of data (e.g., toxicity, fate and transport) were not excluded.
  - Only spiked concentration data were reported.
  - No evidence of the occurrence of new pollutants in biosolids and no new data for chemicals previously identified were reported.
  - The only reported data were for metals previously regulated in biosolids (40 CFR Part 503).
  - New classes of chemicals (e.g., nanoparticles) were found in biosolids but no data were available.

## <u>SubTask 2.2: Chemical Review: Evaluate Data Availability for Chemicals to be Included in the Biennial Review</u>

- 2.2.a. For newly and previously identified chemicals in biosolids, data (e.g., human and ecological toxicity, and environmental fate and transport data) presented in papers from the literature search shall be systematically extracted, captured and documented in a data evaluation record (DER) provided by the TOCOR or similar document developed by the contractor and approved by the TOCOR.
- 2.2.b. The TOCOR shall provide the contractor with the list of data sources to be used for mining human health toxicity data.
- 2.2.c. The contractor shall use the ECOTOXicology knowledgebase (ECOTOX; U.S. EPA, 2018d) for all newly identified and existing chemicals to identify the number of papers and number of species, if any, that are available. NOTE: The EPA is in the process of determining the best approach for determining ecological endpoints that are to be used for ecological risk assessments. Reporting the number of papers and number of species shall be sufficient at this time.

- 2.2.d. For environmental fate and transport data, the contractor shall use the PHYSPROP database, which is incorporated in the Estimation Programs Interface (EPISuite™; U.S. EPA, 2017). Physical-chemical properties include:
  - Molecular weight
  - Solubility
  - Vapor pressure
  - Henry's law constant
  - Log octanol-water partition coefficients (log K<sub>OW</sub>)
  - Soil-water partitioning coefficients (Kd and Koc)
  - Degradation rates in various media
  - Diffusivities in air and water
  - Bioavailability
- 2.2.e. For many organic chemicals, uptake/transfer factors can be estimated using empirical relationships. Transfer factors of interest are:
  - Air-to-plant transfer factors
  - Root uptake factors for above-ground vegetation
  - Root concentration factors (for root vegetables)
  - Bioconcentration factors for fish
  - Bioaccumulation factors for various plants and small fauna eaten by ecological receptors
  - Bioconcentration factors for animal products (e.g., meat and milk)
- 2.2.f. The contractor is not required to perform a microbial review at this time.

### SubTask 2.3.: Reporting Process, Results and Conclusions

- 2.3.a. The contractor shall include the process used to develop the biennial review, the data gleaned from the literature search and any conclusions drawn in the final biennial review.
- 2.3.b. The Contractor shall develop the draft Biennial Review.
- 2.3.c. The Contractor shall develop the final Biennial Review.
- 2.3.d. The contractor shall input all data and associated information obtained during the biennial review process into an Excel spreadsheet developed by the contractor, or other similar form an Access database provided by the TOCOR.

Task 2. Deliverables

Task	SubTas k	Deliverable	Due
2	2.1.a-d	Literature Search/Selection of Papers Complete	Two weeks after receiving final comments from TOCOR
2	2.2.a-f	Extraction of Data Complete	Two weeks after receiving final comments from TOCOR

	2.3.b	Draft Biennial Review	Two weeks after receiving final
2			comments from TOCOR
2	2.3.c	Final Biennial Review	Two weeks after receiving final
			comments from TOCOR
2	2.3.d	Input of all data gleaned from biennial	Completion of TO
		review process complete	

### Task 3: Provide Recommendations for Biennial Review Process Improvements

The contractor shall provide the TOCOR with recommendations for improving the biennial review process, including but not limited to: literature search (e.g., search terms and strings), format, data sources, database, other. Recommendations shall be made to the TOCOR during the development of the 2018-2019 Biennial Review and incorporated if appropriate. Recommendations shall also be made for future biennial reviews.

### SubTask 3.1.: Biennial Review Process Recommendations

- 3.1.a. The contractor shall develop draft recommendations for improvements to the biennial review process (e.g., document organization/format, data sources, etc.)
- 3.1.b. The contractor shall develop final recommendations for improvements to the biennial review process (e.g., document organization/format, data sources, etc.)

Task 3. Deliverables

Task	SubTask	Deliverable	Due
3	3.1.a	Draft Recommendations	60 days prior to end of TO
3	3.1.b	Final Recommendations	Two weeks after receiving final comments from TOCOR

### VII. Acceptance Criteria:

The Contractor shall prepare high quality deliverables. Deliverables shall be edited for grammar, spelling, and logic flow. The technical information shall be reasonably complete and presented in a logical, readable manner. Figures submitted shall be of high quality, similar to those in presentations developed for national scientific meetings and should be formatted as jpeg or png files. Additional requirements specific to this TO are as follows: Electronic deliverables must be in an original file format that can be supported by the EPA after the end of the Period of Performance of the TO. The standard office software at EPA is MS Office. Text deliverables shall be provided in Microsoft Word 2010 or compatible format.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICA	ATION OF CONTRACT		CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQ	UISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJEC	T NO. (If applicable)
P00002	See Block 16C	PR-0	W-20-00679		, ,,
6. ISSUED BY CODE	CAD	7. ADN	MINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	
CAD US Environmental Protection 26 West Martin Luther King D Mail Code: W136 Cincinnati OH 45268-0001	_				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street	sounts, State and 7/D Code)	loa	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		
TETRA TECH, INC. Attn: George Townsend 10306 EATON PL STE 340 FAIRFAX VA 22030	county, State and 21P Code)	98. × 10/ × 68	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.  DATED (SEE ITEM 11)  A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER IS CONTRACT/ORDE	NO.	
CODE 198549560	FACILITY CODE	$\overline{}$	0/28/2019		
CITY OF STREET, MY IN THE	11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPL		- 2		
CHECK ONE  A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED FORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.  B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRAC appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH	change an offer already submitted to the solicitation and this and the solicity and the solici	ed , such change mendment, and is GORDERS. IT MO	may be made by letter or electronic communi	cation, provide pecified.  ESCRIBED IN I	T <b>EM 14.</b>
X C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN	T IS ENTERED INTO PURSUAI	NT TO AUTHORI	TY OF:		
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor 🗵 is not	is required to sign this docu	iment and return	copies to the issuir	ng office.	
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (DUNS Number: 198549560 TOCOR: Elizabeth Resek Max ELIST OF CHANGES: Reason for Modification: Other COR/Project Officer changed	xpire Date: 10/3	30/2020 re Action			Alternate
Continued  Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)  15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	e document referenced in Item s	16A. I And GNED 16B. I	NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFF	ICER (Type or	print)  16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		<u> (1)</u>		SIGNATURE	08/14/2020

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-17-031/68HERC20F0036/P00002
 2
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR TETRA TECH, INC.

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Payment:				
	RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	RTP-Finance Center (AA216-01)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	www2.epa.gov/financial/contracts				
	Durham NC 27711				
	Period of Performance: 11/01/2019 to 10/30/2020				
	Delivery-Invoice Payment Schedule shall not				
	exceed a frequency greater than once a month and				
	90% of the task order price. Acceptance for				
	invoicing is based on deliverable approval by the				
	TOCOR. For efficient processing IAW FAR clause				
	52.232-32, performance based payment invoicing				
	amounts will not be submitted until the TOCOR				
	provides deliverable approval. The TOCOR will				
	notify Tetra Tech within 14 days of submission of				
	a deliverable of EPAs intention to approve or				
	disapprove.				
	TOCOR: Elizabeth				
	Resek/(202)566-1228/resek.elizabeth@epa.gov				
	ALTOCOR: Elyssa				
	Arnold/(202)-566-1189/arnold.elyssa@epa.gov				
		1			

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				CONTRACT ID CODE	PA	PAGE OF PAGES		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE	DATE	4. REC	QUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJI	ECT NO. (If applicable)		
P00003	See Bloo	ck 16C				, ,,		
6. ISSUED BY CODE	CAD		7. AD	MINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE			
CAD US Environmental Protection 26 West Martin Luther King I Mail Code: W136 Cincinnati OH 45268-0001								
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., stree,	t. countv. State and	ZIP Code)	I9A	. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.				
TETRA TECH, INC. Attn: John Hochheimer 10306 EATON PL STE 340 FAIRFAX VA 22030		,	9B × 10. 68	A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO P-C-17-031 BHERC20F0036 B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	D.			
CODE 198549560	FACILITY COD	E	1	0/28/2019				
	11. THIS ITE	 EM ONLY APPLIES TO AM	MENDN	MENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
CHECK ONE  A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	change an offer a nce to the solicita uired)  IODIFICATION O	already submitted , such contion and this amendment,  F CONTRACTS/ORDERS  (Specify authority) THE (	change , and is	may be made by letter or electronic communication	ecified.  CRIBED	IN ITEM 14.		
X C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN D. OTHER (Specify type of modification		NTO PURSUANT TO AU	THORI	TY OF:				
B. OTHER (opens) type of medineducin	and dathonly)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor X is not	is required t	o sign this document and	return	copies to the issuing	office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION DUNS Number: 198549560  TOCOR: Elizabeth Resek Max E The purpose of this modifical modification signed by Raoul unchanged.  Payment: Period of Performance: 11/01 Delivery-Invoice Payment Schand 90% of the task order proby the TOCOR. For efficient invoicing amounts will not be Continued	xpire Dation is Scott of 2019 to edule shade. Acceprocessine submit	te: 10/30/202 to incorporat n July 30, 20  10/30/2020 all not excee eptance for i ng IAW FAR cl ted until the	ed a nvo	he attached EPA blanket All other terms and co frequency greater than icing is based on delive e 52.232-32, performance COR provides deliverable	adminondita	ions remain  a month  a approval  ed payment  roval. The		
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	e accument refe	renced in Item 9 A or 10A	_	retofore changed, remains unchanged and in to NAME_AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFIC				
				lrea Dehne	1.34.2	. ,		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ECTRON ISNATUR			
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			1	(Signature of Contracting Officer)		1		

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-17-031/68HERC20F0036/P00003
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR TETRA TECH, INC.

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	TOCOR will notify Tetra Tech within 14 days of		$\Box$		
	submission of a deliverable of EPAs intention to				
	approve or disapprove.				
	TOCOR: Elizabeth				
	Resek/(202)566-1228/resek.elizabeth@epa.gov				
	ALTOCOR: Elyssa				
	Arnold/(202)-566-1189/arnold.elyssa@epa.gov				
			ı I		

AMEN	IDMENT OF SOLICITATION	MODIFICATION (	OF CONTRACT	1. CONTRACT ID CO	DDE F	PAGE C	F PAGES
2. AMENDME	NT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 08/13/2020	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE	E REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT I	NUMBER (	(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY	CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (	If other than Item 6)	CODE		
OMS/ARM US Enviror 1200 Penr	Scott, Director I/OAS/Policy, Training and Over nmental Protection Agency, Mai nsylvania Avenue, NW n, DC 20004	sight Division I Code 3802R			_		
	ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, str	eet, county, State and ZIP Co	ode)	(X) 9A. AMENDME	NT OF SOLICITA	ATION NUI	MRER
To All EPA	Contractors			9B. DATED (SEI  10A. MODIFICA  To all EPA ( 10B. DATED (SE	EITEM 11) TION OF CONTI	RACT/ORE	DER NUMBER
CODE		CILITY CODE				10. 0. 10.1	933
	11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF S	OLICITATIONS			
or (c) By separa RECEIVED AT by virtue of this communication	ng items 8 and 15, and returning ate letter or electronic communication which is THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECE amendment you desire to change an offer al makes reference to the solicitation and this a TING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If require	ncludes a reference to the sol EIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO ready submitted, such change mendment, and is received p	icitation and amendment num THE HOUR AND DATE SPE may be made by letter or ele	bers. FAILURE OF YO ECIFIED MAY RESULT I ectronic communication, late specified.	UR ACKNOWLE IN REJECTION ( provided each le	DGMENT OF YOUR	TO BE OFFER. If
			DIFICATIONS OF COI R NUMBER AS DESC				
CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PUR NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.		1 16 17		3 3	NTRACT	ORDER
X	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/( appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN	ORDER IS MODIFIED TO RE ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO TH	FLECT THE ADMINISTRATI HE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.1	VE CHANGES (such as 103(b).	changes in payi	ng office,	
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS		NT TO AUTHORITY OF:		· * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and	autnority)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		s required to sign this			s to the issuir	ng office	
This contra 4.2105, red Telecomm	act/order is being modified in acc quiring contracting officers to inc unications and Video Surveillanded for the full text version of FA	cordance with the ap lude FAR clause 52. ce Services or Equip	plicability instructions 204-25, Prohibition o ment.	s in interim FAR ( on Contracting for	Case 2019-0 r Certain	009, an	d FAR
Except as provi	ded herein, all terms and conditions of the do	cument referenced in Item 9A	or 10A, as heretofore change	ed, remains unchanged	and in full force	and effect.	
<del></del>	ND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF				•
			Raoul D. Scott, Dire	ctor Policy, Train	ing and Ove	ersight I	Division
15B. CONTRA	CTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF A		RAOUL SCOTT 1:40:17 -04'00'	16C. DA	TE SIGNED
(S	ignature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature	of Contracting Officer)			

## 52.204-25 Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment.

As prescribed in 4.2105(b) and in the applicability instructions in interim FAR Case 2019-009, insert the following clause:

### Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment (Aug 2020)

### (a) <u>Definitions</u>. As used in this clause—

*Backhaul* means intermediate links between the core network, or backbone network, and the small subnetworks at the edge of the network (e.g., connecting cell phones/towers to the core telephone network). Backhaul can be wireless (e.g., microwave) or wired (e.g., fiber optic, coaxial cable, Ethernet).

Covered foreign country means The People's Republic of China.

Covered telecommunications equipment or services means—

- (1) Telecommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities);
- (2) For the purpose of public safety, security of Government facilities, physical security surveillance of critical infrastructure, and other national security purposes, video surveillance and telecommunications equipment produced by Hytera Communications Corporation, Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Company, or Dahua Technology Company (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities);
- (3) Telecommunications or video surveillance services provided by such entities or using such equipment; or
- (4) Telecommunications or video surveillance equipment or services produced or provided by an entity that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence or the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, reasonably believes to be an entity owned or controlled by, or otherwise connected to, the government of a covered foreign country.

### Critical technology means-

- (1) Defense articles or defense services included on the United States Munitions List set forth in the International Traffic in Arms Regulations under subchapter M of chapter I of title 22, Code of Federal Regulations;
- (2) Items included on the Commerce Control List set forth in Supplement No. 1 to part 774 of the Export Administration Regulations under subchapter C of chapter VII of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, and controlled-

- (i) Pursuant to multilateral regimes, including for reasons relating to national security, chemical and biological weapons proliferation, nuclear nonproliferation, or missile technology; or
  - (ii) For reasons relating to regional stability or surreptitious listening;
- (3) Specially designed and prepared nuclear equipment, parts and components, materials, software, and technology covered by part 810 of title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to assistance to foreign atomic energy activities);
- (4) Nuclear facilities, equipment, and material covered by part 110 of title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to export and import of nuclear equipment and material);
- (5) Select agents and toxins covered by part 331 of title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, part 121 of title 9 of such Code, or part 73 of title 42 of such Code; or
- (6) Emerging and foundational technologies controlled pursuant to section 1758 of the Export Control Reform Act of 2018 (50 U.S.C. 4817).

Interconnection arrangements means arrangements governing the physical connection of two or more networks to allow the use of another's network to hand off traffic where it is ultimately delivered (e.g., connection of a customer of telephone provider A to a customer of telephone company B) or sharing data and other information resources.

Reasonable inquiry means an inquiry designed to uncover any information in the entity's possession about the identity of the producer or provider of covered telecommunications equipment or services used by the entity that excludes the need to include an internal or third-party audit.

Roaming means cellular communications services (e.g., voice, video, data) received from a visited network when unable to connect to the facilities of the home network either because signal coverage is too weak or because traffic is too high.

Substantial or essential component means any component necessary for the proper function or performance of a piece of equipment, system, or service.

(b) Prohibition. (1) Section 889(a)(1)(A) of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Pub. L. 115-232) prohibits the head of an executive agency on or after August 13, 2019, from procuring or obtaining, or extending or renewing a contract to procure or obtain, any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. The Contractor is prohibited from providing to the Government any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system, unless an exception at paragraph (c) of this clause applies or the covered telecommunication equipment or services are covered by a waiver described in FAR 4.2104.

- (2) Section 889(a)(1)(B) of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Pub. L. 115-232) prohibits the head of an executive agency on or after August 13, 2020, from entering into a contract, or extending or renewing a contract, with an entity that uses any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system, unless an exception at paragraph (c) of this clause applies or the covered telecommunication equipment or services are covered by a waiver described in FAR 4.2104. This prohibition applies to the use of covered telecommunications equipment or services, regardless of whether that use is in performance of work under a Federal contract.
  - (c) Exceptions. This clause does not prohibit contractors from providing—
- (1) A service that connects to the facilities of a third-party, such as backhaul, roaming, or interconnection arrangements; or
- (2) Telecommunications equipment that cannot route or redirect user data traffic or permit visibility into any user data or packets that such equipment transmits or otherwise handles.
  - (d) Reporting requirement.
- (1) In the event the Contractor identifies covered telecommunications equipment or services used as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system, during contract performance, or the Contractor is notified of such by a subcontractor at any tier or by any other source, the Contractor shall report the information in paragraph (d)(2) of this clause to the Contracting Officer, unless elsewhere in this contract are established procedures for reporting the information; in the case of the Department of Defense, the Contractor shall report to the website at https://dibnet.dod.mil. For indefinite delivery contracts, the Contractor shall report to the Contracting Officer for the indefinite delivery contract and the Contracting Officer(s) for any affected order or, in the case of the Department of Defense, identify both the indefinite delivery contract and any affected orders in the report provided at https://dibnet.dod.mil.
- (2) The Contractor shall report the following information pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this clause
- (i) Within one business day from the date of such identification or notification: the contract number; the order number(s), if applicable; supplier name; supplier unique entity identifier (if known); supplier Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code (if known); brand; model number (original equipment manufacturer number, manufacturer part number, or wholesaler number); item description; and any readily available information about mitigation actions undertaken or recommended.
- (ii) Within 10 business days of submitting the information in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this clause: any further available information about mitigation actions undertaken or recommended. In addition, the Contractor shall describe the efforts it undertook to prevent use or submission of covered telecommunications equipment or services, and any additional efforts that will be incorporated to prevent future use or submission of covered telecommunications equipment or services.

(e) *Subcontracts*. The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (e) and excluding paragraph (b)(2), in all subcontracts and other contractual instruments, including subcontracts for the acquisition of commercial items.

(End of clause)

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	ONTRACT		CONTRACT ID CODE	PAG	PAGE OF PAGES		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE	DATE	4. REQ	UISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJE	1 2 CT NO. (If applicable)	
P00004	See Bloo	rk 160	2R-0	W-21-00169		,	
6. ISSUED BY CODE	CAD		7. ADN	MINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE		
CAD US Environmental Protection 26 West Martin Luther King I Mail Code: W136 Cincinnati OH 45268-0001	_				_		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., stree	t county State and	ZIP Code)	94	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.			
TETRA TECH, INC. Attn: John Hochheimer 10306 EATON PL STE 340 FAIRFAX VA 22030	, centry, date and	· (	9B. × 10A × EF	DATED (SEE ITEM 11)  MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO CONTRACT/OR	D.		
CODE 198549560	FACILITY CODE	<u> </u>	1	0/28/2019			
37 + 10, 5 - 30E 2F 5 -	11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO AM		ENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
CHECK ONE  A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	change an offer a nce to the solicita uired) IODIFICATION OI PURSUANT TO: (	Iready submitted , such of tion and this amendment,  F CONTRACTS/ORDERS  (Specify authority) THE (	hange and is IT MC	may be made by letter or electronic communicate received prior to the opening hour and date specified prior to the opening hour and the opening hou	ation, providecified.  GCRIBED IN	HITEM 14.	
c. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN				MINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes ii OF FAR 43.103(b). TY OF:	n paying on	ice,	
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification							
X BILATERAL AGREEMENT - COI	NFIRM DELIV	ERABLE RECEIPT 1	IN FU	LL FOR TASK ORDER CLOSEOUT			
E.IMPORTANT: Contractor Sis not  14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION DUNS Number: 198549560  TOCOR: Elizabeth Resek Max E	(Organized by UC		uding s		5		
LIST OF CHANGES:							
Reason for Modification: Con	firm Orde	er Close Out					
Continued							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	ne document refe	renced in Item 9 A or 10A	16A. I	etofore changed, remains unchanged and in fundame AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFIC The Dehne			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. I	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ECTRONIC		
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			100	(Signature of Contracting Officer)	ISNATUR!	03/17/2021	

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-17-031/68HERC20F0036/P00004
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR TETRA TECH, INC.

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Payment:				
	RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	RTP-Finance Center (AA216-01)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	www2.epa.gov/financial/contracts				
	Durham NC 27711				
	Period of Performance: 11/01/2019 to 10/30/2020				
	Delivery-Invoice Payment Schedule shall not				
	exceed a frequency greater than once a month and				
	90% of the task order price. Acceptance for				
	invoicing is based on deliverable approval by the				
	TOCOR. For efficient processing IAW FAR clause				
	52.232-32, performance based payment invoicing				
	amounts will not be submitted until the TOCOR				
	provides deliverable approval. The TOCOR will				
	notify Tetra Tech within 14 days of submission of				
	a deliverable of EPAs intention to approve or				
	disapprove.				
	TOCOR: Elizabeth				
	Resek/(202)566-1228/resek.elizabeth@epa.gov				
	ALTOCOR: Elyssa				
	Arnold/(202)-566-1189/arnold.elyssa@epa.gov				
	The second secon				